### 201920 FINANCE POLICY STATEMENT

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

65

66

67

68

1	Goals and Principles
2	The American economy is dynamic,
3	transforming from agrarian to industrial,
4	from industrial to services-driven, and
5	evolving now to Internet-based
6	businesses harnessing the power of the
7	Digital Age. The growth in online-
8	enabled platforms that connect
9	customers with companies and private
10	individuals offering services and
11	property for sale or lease is
12	fundamentally restructuring the business
13	landscape. As the Internet takes a
14	greater hold in American business and as
15	Virginia weans itself from the economic
16	stimulus of federal defense spending,
17	local governments must reexamine the
18	services provided to citizens and the
19	means to pay for them. State laws, local
20	ordinances, tax structures, licenses and
21	regulations will have to be re-evaluated
22	and re-tooled without sacrificing the
23	overall quality of basic government
24	services, including education, public
25	health, and public safety.
26	
27	To that end, these principles are
28	essential:
29	<ul> <li>Local revenue sources should be</li> </ul>
30	balanced and diversified over three
31	broad bases – assets (property),

- - consumption (sales), and income;

32

33

36

- The local tax system should be logical and professionally 34 35 administered. Taxpayers should be treated fairly, and compliance costs should be minimized:
- 38 The burden of taxation, as well as 39 the benefits of services, should be 40 shared and enjoyed by all whether 41 they are residents or local 42 businesses

- Tax policy should recognize the different economic, demographic, and service demands among localities, and should foster local control to develop tax policies best suited for their communities:
- Tax policy should recognize and be responsive to the competitive nature of the free market, should refrain from enacting policies that are too generous for one group, and should not place undue burdens on particular groups, including business and industry;
- 57 State-imposed changes on local tax 58 structures should be simple to 59 administer and, at a minimum, be 60 revenue neutral; and
- State-mandated tax relief programs 61 62 should not use local revenues. 63 State-adopted tax relief programs 64 should rely only on state revenues.
  - The State should not create real estate tax relief programs unless it is willing to pay for the cost of the programs.
- 69 Local tax dollars should not be 70 claimed by the state to cover the 71 Commonwealth's revenue needs. 72 This includes, but is not limited to, 73 local fines and forfeitures and 74 revenues tied to the 75 Communications Sales and Use 76 Tax.
- 77 Any legislation with local fiscal 78 impact should be introduced no 79 later than the first week of a 80 General Assembly session. Such 81 legislation should be pre-filed prior 82 to the convening of a regular legislative session. 83
- 84 Local government representatives 85 should be included on any "blue

ribbon" commission or other body
established by the state that has as
its purpose changes to state and
local revenue authority or
governance.

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

# **Fiscal Challenges Confronting Local Governments**

- The existing local tax structure is overly dependent upon general property taxes, specifically real estate taxes.
- Unfunded and inadequately funded state mandates and commitments strain local government budgets and place additional pressures on the real estate tax. State-initiated services and programs should be supported by state funds, not rely on local funds to supplant state dollars. Line of Duty benefits for First Responders is one such example.
- 24 Public demands for public services 25 continue to increase. These 26 services include education, mental 27 health, other human services programs, juvenile programs, 28 29 environmental initiatives, 30 economic development, recreation, 31 and public safety. These services have both operating and capital 32 costs and must be funded. 33
  - Local revenue collections and service demands are also influenced by variables outside the control of councils and boards of supervisors. These include changes in federal tax, budget, and fiscal policies; long-term economic trends; the aging of our citizens; and global events.

42 43

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

# 44 Strengthening the Local Tax Base

Depending on the particulars of any given proposal, possible options to

- broaden local tax bases include reserving
- 48 a portion of the state income tax for
- 49 locally-delivered programs, authorizing
- 50 a local option income tax for both
- 51 general and special purposes, increasing
- 52 the local option sales tax rate, reducing
- 53 the number of sales tax exemptions,
- 54 expanding the sales tax base, and
- reducing the number of exemptions fromthe business license tax.

57

- 58 The state can also take actions to prevent
- 59 the further erosion of local revenues by
- 60 **not** restricting local tax authority,
- 61 imposing new spending requirements or
- 62 expanding existing ones on services
- 63 delivered by local governments, shifting
- 64 state funding responsibilities onto local
- 65 governments, expanding retirement and
- 66 other benefits, and placing
- 67 administrative burdens on local
- 68 governments for state or joint programs.

## 70 Specific Tax Issues

- 71 VML opposes the repeal or restriction of
- 72 BPOL, machinery and
- 73 tools, or excise taxes unless, at a
- 74 minimum, suitable revenue-neutral
- 75 replacement sources are provided.

76 77

69

- 77 The state and federal government should
- 78 make payments-in-lieu-of-taxes for tax-
- 79 exempt properties in amounts equal to
- 80 the cost of the local services provided as
- 81 well as related infrastructure
- 82 improvements.

83

- 84 Counties should be granted taxing
- 85 powers equal to those granted cities and
- 86 towns, without decreasing, limiting or
- 87 changing town taxing authority. County
- 88 excise taxes must not be levied on town
- 89 residents without the explicit approval
- 90 by a town's governing body.

- 1 VML supports the constitutional
- 2 requirement for fair market valuation of
- 3 property. State-imposed changes to the
- 4 real estate tax must be "local option."
- 5 The state should not impose changes to
- 6 processes governing assessments and
- 7 appeals for real estate taxes that further
- 8 degrade this revenue source.

9

- 10 VML supports current state statutory
- 11 requirements governing the setting of
- 12 real estate tax rates and the integration of
- 13 this process with the budget
- 14 development process. Changes to these
- 15 processes cannot be addressed separately
- 16 without placing undue hardship and
- 17 increased costs on local taxpayers. Any
- 18 future state legislative change should be
- 19 simple to administer and not contradict,
- 20 impede or hinder the others.

21

- 22 The Virginia Communication Sales and
- 23 Use Tax was enacted to establish a
- 24 statewide tax rate and to pre-empt local
- 25 taxes on communication sales and
- 26 services. As such, the revenues from
- 27 this tax must be distributed exclusively
- 28 to eligible local governments. VML
- 29 supports setting the tax rate on par with
- 30 the state sales tax rate and broadening
- 31 the coverage of the tax to include audio
- 32 and video streaming services and
- 32 and video streaming serv
- 33 prepaid calling services.

34

- 35 VML supports state legislation to make
- 36 clear that transient occupancy taxes and
- 37 sales taxes are applied on the cost of the
- 38 room paid by the consumer, regardless
- 39 of the means (such as on-line travel
- 40 companies) used to reserve a room.

41

#### 42 Specific Budget Issues

- 43 In 1979, Virginia made sweeping
- 44 changes in local governmental boundary
- 45 change and transition issues, including a
- 46 moratorium on city annexations that

- 47 remains in place. In recognition of the
- 48 lost revenue growth for cities, the
- 49 General Assembly approved some
- 50 changes in state funding commitments
- 51 for selective programs and created a
- 52 program of state assistance to local
- 53 police departments (HB 599). Almost 70
- 54 percent of Virginians now live in
- 55 communities served by police
- 56 departments. The state has increasingly
- 57 de-emphasized its statutory commitment
- 58 to the "HB 599" program but has never
- 59 compromised on the annexation
- 60 moratorium. VML calls on the state to
- 61 honor its commitment to public safety by
- 62 funding the program in amounts
- 63 intended in the enabling legislation.

64

- 65 The state must be a reliable funding
- 66 partner in accordance with the Virginia
- 67 Constitution and state statutes. The
- 68 Standards of Quality should recognize
- 69 the resources, including positions,
- 70 required for a high-quality public
- 71 education system. The SOQ should
- 72 reflect prevailing practices across the
- 73 state, and the actual costs to educate
- 74 Virginia's children. This includes the
- 75 cost to educate at-risk students, students
- 76 in jeopardy of failing the state's
- 77 Standards of Learning tests, students
- 78 with special needs, and school
- 79 construction/renovation/maintenance.

- 81 The state should fully recognize and
- 82 fund the costs of re-benchmarking of the
- 83 various educational programs, including
- 84 the Standards of Quality, incentive,
- 85 categorical, and school facilities
- 86 programs as well as support services.
- 87 Changing the process of re-
- 88 benchmarking to artificially lower
- 89 recognized costs like inflation does not
- 90 change what it actually costs to provide
- 91 education. Instead, it simply transfers

additional costs to local governments

and the real estate tax base

3

4 The Commonwealth should:

5 Study the Standards of

6 Accreditation and Standards of Learning

7 to determine which standards impose

8 costs on local governments that are not

9 recognized in state funding formulas. In

10 particular, changes adopted since 2009

11 to SOAs and SOLs should be examined.

as state funding on a per-pupil basis and

accounting for inflation and enrollment

growth remains below 2009 appropriated

15 levels.

16 • Re-examine those Standards of

17 Quality that the Board of Education has

18 recommended, but that the General

19 Assembly has not funded. These

20 standards reflect prevailing practices

necessary to improve children's

22 academic performance. Student

academic performance is required for

schools to meet the accountability

standards under the SOL and SOA. If

funding is not available to pay for

prevailing practices, the accountability

standards should be adjusted so that

local governments are not in the position

30 of having to bear the entire burden of

meeting these unfunded mandates.

32 Conduct a study that examines

33 how other states fund education and

whether the Commonwealth should use

a funding strategy that establishes a

more realistic base foundation amount

per pupil – plus add-on funding to reflect 37

higher costs for educating at-risk,

disabled, ESL, and gifted students, etc.

as well as funding for capital costs. 40

41

31

42 The state should provide sufficient

43 funding for highway construction and

44 maintenance, public transportation

45 infrastructure and maintenance, ports,

airports, and freight and passenger rail to

47 promote economic development and

public safety. If the General Assembly

49 chooses a funding approach that

50 emphasizes regional efforts, then such

51 opportunities should be made available

52 across the Commonwealth.

53

54 The state should continue to base its

55 funding of retirement plans based on the

56 contribution rates certified by the

57 Virginia Retirement System.

58

59 VML supports transparency in budgeting

60 at both the state and local level. To that

effect, the state should not disguise its 61

62 budget reductions by using unidentified

or non-specific reductions for aid to 63

64 localities.

65

66 As a matter of fiscal reform, the state

should develop financial priorities that 67

account for both spending and revenue 68

69 actions. The debate on such priorities

should be public and should be 70

71 transparent to the public in the

Governor's Budget Bill and the General 72

73 Assembly's Appropriation Act. For

example, should education funding be

75 afforded less priority than certain tax

preferences? 76

77

#### 78 Governmental Accounting Standards **Board (GASB)** 79

80 The Governmental Accounting

Standards Board (GASB) has put in 81

place standards regarding the reporting 82

83 of unfunded liabilities of cost-sharing

84 plans. A cost-sharing plan is one in

85 which participating government

employers pool their assets and their 86

obligations for a defined benefit pension, 87

such as Virginia's teacher retirement 88

89 plan. While the costs are shared, the

90 state sets the rules regarding what

91 benefits are required and what the state

contribution will be.

- 1 GASB requires that the unfunded
- 2 liability be apportioned among the
- 3 participating employers that pay the
- 4 retirement contributions to the pension
- 5 plan. Teachers are employees of the
- 6 school boards, which send retirement
- 7 contributions to VRS. Because of this
- 8 the unfunded liability falls solely on the
- 9 school boards, even though the
- 10 retirement contributions are funded, in
- 11 part, by the state and the school board.
- 12 This means that the liability will be
- 13 shown on the city, county or town
- 14 financial statement. The intent of GASB
- 15 rules is to encourage transparency in
- 16 pinpointing liabilities and the current
- 17 method of assigning those teacher
- 18 pension liabilities only to school
- 19 divisions contravenes the goal of
- 20 transparency.
- 21
- 22 Because there was not a process for
- 23 apportioning the liabilities for these cost
- 24 sharing plans, they previously had not
- 25 been reported at the local level.
- 26
- 27 The unfunded liability should be shared
- 28 by the state and localities based on the
- 29 state's Standards of Quality and local
- 30 composite index and reflected as such in
- 31 reporting.
- 32
- 33 VML supports state policy changes that
- 34 would provide for the Virginia
- 35 Department of Education to pay the
- 36 Commonwealth's share of retirement
- 37 costs directly to the Virginia Retirement
- 38 System to facilitate the sharing of these
- 39 liabilities.
- 40

#### 41 Government Reform

- 42 VML supports a comprehensive review
- 43 of the services provided by state and
- 44 local governments. The purpose of the
- 45 review is to ascertain which services are
- 46 truly essential to support a productive

- 47 economy and healthy society; determine
- 48 the performance level of public services
- 49 now in place; evaluate the policies and
- 50 practices used by the state to assign
- 51 responsibility and accountability
- 52 between the state and local governments
- 53 for providing public services; and
- 54 determine the most effective, efficient
- 55 and equitable ways to fund essential
- 56 public services. Such a review must
- 57 start with a dialog including state and
- 58 local officials, business interests,
- 59 academia, and other interested parties.

## 61 Tax and Spending Reform

- 62 Any state initiative aimed at tax reform
- 63 should first include a focus on state tax
- 64 reform and the financing of state
- 65 services including revenue sources. If
- 66 the state paid an appropriate share of its
- 67 obligations for locally-administered state
- 68 mandated or priority services, the
- 69 reliance on local taxes would be
- 70 reduced. Local officials should be
- 71 included in any discussion that focuses
- 72 on local taxing authority.